UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,575	12/22/2003	Barry G. GOMBERT	117438	1574
27074 OLIFF & BERI	7590 10/10/200 RIDGE, PLC.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	WHIPPLE, BRIAN P		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2452		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction27074@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
		10/707,	575	GOMBERT, BARRY G.			
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Brian P.	Whipple	2152			
7 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a)⊠ Th 3)⊡ Si	esponsive to communication(s) filentials action is FINAL . Ince this application is in condition accordance with the pract	2b)☐ This action is for allowance exce	non-final. ot for formal matter	•	e merits is		
Disposition	of Claims						
4a 5)☐ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)☐ Cl	aim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the allowed claim(s) is/a aim(s) is/a aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from o					
9) <u></u> Th∈	e specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice of 3) Informati	FReferences Cited (PTO-892) FDraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) O(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/l	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/707,575 Page 2

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are pending in this application and presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot as they are directed to amended subject matter. The portions of the prior art disclosing the amended subject matter are properly given in the prior art rejections below.
- 3. Applicant and Examiner conducted an interview on 6/24/08. The Examiner's interview summary was mailed on 7/3/08 and indicates the proposed amendments appear to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejections of claims 1 and 15. Examiner did not take a firm position that this was the case and stated that "detailed reconsideration of the rejection would need to be considered upon entry of a formal amendment."

Upon reconsideration, the Examiner discovered the prior art previously relied upon may be used to reject the amended subject matter (as laid out in detail in the prior art rejection of claim 1 below). The Examiner apologizes for the misleading statement given in the interview summary and believes at the time of the interview, the Examiner and the Applicant may have been discussing the documents of claim 1 as being rejected by the business cards of Rhoads. However, the Examiner believes this was a mistake on his part and

that the actual sections of Rhoads being relied upon to reject the documents are the associated data stored digitally and not the physical cards themselves.

This is supported by the Examiner's previous statement in the Office action mailed on 5/22/08, in the rejection of claim 1, that "the unique identifier is used to access the document containing the user's data, where the document may be a web page, a vCard, or an ASCII file" (Page 3, section 5, ln. 5-6).

Therefore, the amended subject matter of storing the documents in document repositories does not overcome the prior art of Rhoads. Again, the Examiner believes this is because originally the Examiner and the Applicant believed such an amendment would overcome the physical business cards, as the business cards are not stored in document repositories in Rhoads. However, as discussed above, the Examiner discovered upon further review of the previous Office action and the prior art of Rhoads, that the documents are digitally stored documents (such as a web page, a vCard, or an ASCII file) that are stored in associated databases as a central site or in a distributed system (as discussed in the prior art rejection of claim 1 below).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 6-9, 11-13, and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rhoads et al. (Rhoads), U.S. Publication No. 2001/0032251 A1.
- 6. As to claim 1, Rhoads discloses a method for providing a copy of a document ([0040], ln. 1-8), comprising:

storing or uploading at least one of a plurality of documents in at least one of a plurality of document repositories ([0040], ln. 1-8; [0061], ln. 2-11);

receiving and storing in a memory on a first medium a plurality of document identifiers, a user identifier identifying a unique user (Fig. 3, item 45; [0039], ln. 1-4 and 9-13; [0040], ln. 1-8; the recipient's terminal and/or associated input device is a first medium; the unique identifier is used to access the document containing the user's data, where the document may be a web page, a vCard, or an ASCII file; the user's data is available via download, which is storing; the system is available for any number of a plurality of business cards, and each business card is linked to a plurality of information such as name and contact numbers),

associating each document identifier with at least one of the plurality of documents stored in at least one of the plurality of document repositories (Fig. 3, item 43; Abstract, ln.

10-13; [0039], ln. 1-4 and 9-13; [0040], ln. 1-8; the associated database is a document repository; [0061], ln. 2-11; a plurality of central sites or distributed locations may be used to store documents such as contact information, audio/video clips, links, etc., which may be found at an appropriate address provided by a look-up router),

the document repositories different from the first medium (Fig. 3, items 43 and 45; [0039], ln. 1-4 and 9-13; [0040], ln. 1-8; the first medium is a recipient's terminal and/or the associated input device at the card recipient's terminal and the associated database is a document repository);

linking the first medium with at least one of the document repositories (Fig. 3, items 43 and 45; [0039], ln. 1-4 and 9-13; [0040], ln. 1-8; the first medium is a recipient's terminal and/or the associated input device at the card recipient's terminal and the associated database is a document repository);

identifying a stored document as an identified document if any of the document identifiers correspond to a document stored in the document repositories linked to the first medium ([0026], ln. 2-5; [0040], ln 1-8; the unique identifier is used to index the on-line database to access the document containing the user's data; [0061], ln. 2-11); and copying the identified document to a second medium ([0040], ln. 3-8).

7. As to claim 2, Rhoads discloses receiving on the first medium an address identifier associated with the document identifier ([0040], ln. 17-21); and

delivering the identified document to an address indicated by the address identifier ([0040], ln. 17-23).

8. As to claim 6, Rhoads discloses collecting the document identifier ([0032], ln. 1-14), prior to receiving the document identifier ([0032], ln. 1-4; [0033], ln. 3-8; [0039], ln. 1-4; the registration process, whereby a document identifier is collected for watermarking, is prior to the distribution of the watermarked business card to recipients; therefore, the first medium cannot receive the document identifier prior to the registration linked to the collection of the document identifier),

based on a display of a copy of a digital document ([0032], ln. 1-14; the registration occurs on the registering user's terminal),

wherein the copy of the digital document is displayed on a third medium ([0032], ln. 1-14; the interface on the registering user's terminal may be in the form of a dialog box, a web browser, application, and/or other communication mechanisms),

the third medium different from the first and second media ([0032], ln. 1-14; the registration occurs on the registering user's terminal, as opposed to the recipient's terminal or the central site).

- 9. As to claim 7, Rhoads discloses receiving the document identifier comprises scanning or sensing the document identifier ([0028], ln. 1-6; [0039], ln. 1-4).
- 10. As to claim 8, Rhoads discloses the document identifier is in a form of a data glyph contained on a business card ([0033], ln. 3-8), and

receiving the document identifier comprises reading the data glyph from the business card (Fig. 3; [0039], ln. 1-4).

11. As to claim 9, Rhoads discloses the document identifier is in a form of a bar code ([0073]), and

receiving the document identifier comprises scanning the bar code (Fig. 3; [0028], ln. 1-6; [0039], ln. 1-4; [0073]).

- 12. As to claim 11, Rhoads discloses the portable device is one of a personal data assistant, a cellular phone, or a pocket personal computer ([0029], ln. 1-5).
- 13. As to claim 12, Rhoads discloses the document identifier is in a form of a smart badge ([0072], ln. 7-10), and

Application/Control Number: 10/707,575 Page 8

Art Unit: 2152

receiving the document identifier comprises sensing the document identifier from the smart badge ([0072], ln. 7-10).

14. As to claim 13, Rhoads discloses the document identifier is in a form of a token ([0064]), and

receiving the document identifier comprises collecting the document identifier from the token ([0064]).

- 15. As to claims 15-17, the claims are rejected for reasons similar to claim 1 above.
- 16. As to claim 18, the claim is rejected for reasons similar to claim 8 above.
- 17. As to claim 19, the claim is rejected for reasons similar to claims 9 and 12-13 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 19. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhoads as applied to claim 2 above, in view of Aldstadt et al. (Aldstadt), U.S. Publication No. 2005/0149765 A1.
- 20. As to claim 3, Rhoads discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 2, including an address identifier in the form of a printed code (Abstract), and

receiving a unique identifier comprises scanning the address identifier from the printed code (Abstract; Fig. 3).

The identifier in a printed code and scanned is the unique identifier discussed for claim 1 above.

Rhoads is silent on the address identifier used for delivery, as discussed for claim 2 above, being in the form of a printed code and scanned.

However, Aldstadt discloses the address identifier is in a form of a printed code ([0012]), and

receiving the address identifier comprises scanning the address identifier from the printed code (Fig. 1A; [0012]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Rhoads by including the address identifier in the

Application/Control Number: 10/707,575

Art Unit: 2152

printed code and receiving the address identifier by scanning the printed code as taught by Aldstadt in order to enable automated equipment to aid in the sorting, tracking, and delivery of documents (Aldstadt: [0007]).

Page 10

- 21. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhoads and Aldstadt as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Needham, U.S. Publication No. 2003/0089766 A1.
- 22. As to claim 4, Rhoads and Aldstadt disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 3, including scanning the address identifier (Rhoads: Abstract; Aldstadt: [0012]), but are silent on scanning an identifier from an event registration badge.

However, Needham discloses scanning an identifier from an event registration badge ([0004]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Rhoads and Aldstadt by scanning an identifier from an event registration badge as taught by Needham for purposes including receiving documents such as pictures of one's self at an event ([0003]).

Application/Control Number: 10/707,575 Page 11

Art Unit: 2152

23. Claims 5, 10, 14, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhoads as applied to claims 1-2 and 17 above, in view of Needham.

24. As to claim 5, Rhoads discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 2, but is silent on the address identifier is in a form of a radio frequency identification, and

receiving the address identifier comprises receiving the radio frequency identification.

However, Needham discloses the address identifier is in a form of a radio frequency identification ([0013], ln. 1-9), and

receiving the address identifier comprises receiving the radio frequency identification ([0013], \ln 1-9; [0017], \ln 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Rhoads by using radio frequency identification as taught by Needham in order to make use of a well known technology for wirelessly communicating (Needham: [0013], ln. 1-9) thus eliminating the need for wired communication, wired communication being less convenient for users than wireless communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,575

Art Unit: 2152

25. As to claim 10, Rhoads discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 1, but is silent on the document identifier is in a form of an infrared data association, and

Page 12

receiving the document identifier comprises transferring the infrared data association from a portable device.

However, Needham discloses the document identifier is in a form of an infrared data association ([0013], ln. 1-13), and

receiving the document identifier comprises transferring the infrared data association from a portable device ([0013], ln. 1-13; [0017], ln. 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Rhoads by using infrared communication as taught by Needham in order to make use of a well known technology for wirelessly communicating (Needham: [0013], ln. 1-9) thus eliminating the need for wired communication, wired communication being less convenient for users than wireless communication.

26. As to claim 14, Rhoads discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 2, but is silent on the document identifier is in a form of a radio frequency identification, and

receiving the document identifier comprises receiving the radio frequency identification.

However, Needham discloses the document identifier is in a form of a radio frequency identification ([0013], ln. 1-9), and

receiving the document identifier comprises receiving the radio frequency identification ([0013], ln 1-9; [0017], ln. 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Rhoads by using radio frequency identification as taught by Needham in order to make use of a well known technology for wirelessly communicating (Needham: [0013], ln. 1-9) thus eliminating the need for wired communication, wired communication being less convenient for users than wireless communication.

27. As to claim 20, the claim is rejected for reasons similar to claim 10 above.

Conclusion

28. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until

after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

29. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian P. Whipple whose telephone number is (571)270-1244. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (9:30 AM to 6:00 PM EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on (571) 272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or

access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or

571-272-1000.

Brian P. Whipple

/B. P. W./

Examiner, Art Unit 2152

10/1/08

/Kenny S Lin/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2152